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Introduction

Among the factors which influence the profitability of a cow/calf producer are 1) the yearly feed and nonfeed costs of keeping a cow, 2) the number of cows exposed to the bull that wean a calf, 3) the weaning weight of calves and 4) the price received for calves and cull cows (Rasby and Rush, 1996). Unlike the swine and poultry industries, the beef industry in the Western United States is dynamic and ever changing because of arid environments and the subsequent effects of unpredictable precipitation on forage quantity and quality. The western ranch is usually more extensive in nature and optimal livestock production is a function of the forage resources each ranch has available and how successfully the manager can match the nutritional needs of the cowherd to the available forage (Del Curto et al., 2000). It appears that successful producers are able too demonstrate a balance between input costs for the cowherd and production. The aim of this paper is to review research focused at understanding how forage quality, especially crude protein and energy influences reproductive efficiency of the beef cow.

Reproductive Expectations of the Range Cow

For a producer to ensure that each cow calves on a yearly basis, cows are required to conceive within 83 days after parturition. Because body condition score at calving influences return to estrus, it is suspected that many cows have not resumed their estrous cycles by this point (Selk et al. 1988). The energy requirements necessary to support follicle growth, ovulation and early pregnancy are extremely low compared to requirements for maintenance, milk production and growth (O'Callaghan and Boland, 1999). Two factors, which influence return to estrus by the cow, are nutritional status during the breeding season and suckling stimulus by the calf (Lamb, 1999). Richards et al. (1986) concluded that body condition at parturition was the determining factor related to re-initiation of postpartum estrous cycles in the beef cow. However, in dairy cows, it has been shown that a further loss of body condition during
lactation may be even more closely related to reproductive failure than body condition at parturition (Oldick and Firkins, 1996). Increased loss of bodyweight following parturition has been shown to decrease ovarian activity (Staples et al, 1990) and increase the number of days to conception (Heinonen et al., 1988).

The consumption of diets very high in crude protein during lactation has been suggested to influence reproductive performance. Probably more correctly, when the amount of energy available to rumen microbes is insufficient and excess ammonia is present, decreased fertility may occur. These problems can be partially alleviated by feeding protein that is less degradable in the rumen or by feeding less dietary protein.

The level of milk production will also influence the quantity of absorbed protein excreted in the milk and may indirectly influence the amount of urea produced and subsequent fertility (Oldick and Firkins, 1996). Staples et al. (1992) suggested that dairy cows which experienced stress (high milk production, increased negative energy status, lower immune function and reproductive health problems) may be more likely to respond in a negative manner to high dietary crude protein or degradable intake protein.

Moore and Kunkle (2000) summarized the requirements for crude protein and total digestible nutrients (TDN) for different production classes of beef cattle (Table 1). Using requirements for crude protein and TDN, the ratio of these nutrients should be between approximately 6 and 8. For example, lactating cows grazing native range with a protein content of 5% and a TDN content of 45% would have a ratio of 9, which would suggest supplemental protein would be necessary.

**Matching Forage Quality with the Nutrient Requirements of the Range Cow**

Much of the land area in the western states fits the general classification of "rangeland" and is not suitable for tillage because of arid conditions, shallow and rocky soils, and a short growing season (Del Curto et al. 2000). Year to year precipitation influences forage quality. Figure 1, illustrates how the crude protein content of diets selected by cattle in the northern Great Basin differed across years and seasons. The average rainfall in 1990 was approximately six inches compared to 1993, which received approximately 21 inches of rainfall. These authors also estimated when the forage crude protein content would not support the requirements for a lactating cow producing 20 lbs of milk/d. If a cow produced 20 lbs of
milk/d then crude protein content would be below requirements after June of 1993. However, if the cow only produced 10 lbs of milk/d, then protein requirements would be met through July (data not shown).

Short and Adams (1988) prioritized the metabolic use of available energy in ruminants ranking each physiological state in order of importance, as follows: 1) basal metabolism; 2) activity 3) growth; 4) energy reserves; 5) pregnancy; 6) lactation; 7) additional energy reserves; 8) estrous cycles and initiation of pregnancy and 9) excess energy reserves. Superior milking beef cows require diets that contain more energy, protein, calcium and phosphorus (and probably trace minerals) than average milking beef cows if they are expected to rebreed and produce a calf every year. First calf heifers, regardless of milking ability, must be fed to gain weight during the first three months of lactation in order to rebreed (Rasby and Rush, 1996). Body size, milk production, pregnancy and grazing activity are the primary influencers of nutrient requirements of range cattle (NRC, 1996).

Matching the nutrient requirements of the cow with the nutrients available in forages has been recommended as a means to efficiently utilize grazed forages (Vallentine, 1990; Vavra and Raleigh, 1976 and Adams et al., 1996). Two general factors which determine how well the range cow and range forage are complimentary with each other are: 1) genetic potential for milk production by the cow and 2) the synchrony between the cow's nutrient requirements during lactation and the highest nutrient content of the forage (Adams et al., 1996). When the cow's requirements and forage nutrient content are well matched, the cow should receive most of her dietary nutrients from the forage and the need to supply supplementary nutrients from supplements would be reduced. Reducing the need for feeding supplemental hay during the winter months has been shown to result in lower production costs and greater net returns (Adams, 1997).

Daily energy intake is a primary cause of reduced cattle performance on forage diets. In many instances with warm-season perennial forages (and possibly with cool-season perennial forages at advanced stages of maturity), there is an inadequate supply of crude protein, which will limit energy intake (Mathis, 2000). An example of the relationship between crude protein content of forages and forage intake is presented in Figure 2. Dry matter intake declined rapidly as forage crude protein fell below about 7 percent, a result attributed to a deficiency of nitrogen (protein) in the rumen, which hampered microbial activity. If the forage contained less than about seven percent crude protein, feeding a protein supplement generally improved the energy and protein status of cattle by improving their forage intake and digestibility. For example, with a crude protein
content of 5 percent, forage intake was about 1.6 percent of body weight, while at 7 percent crude protein, forage intake was 44 percent higher and consumed at 2.3 percent of body weight.

Improved forage intake boosts total dietary energy intake, and explains why correcting a protein deficiency is usually the first step in formulating a supplementation program. As suggested, when the crude protein content of forages drops below about 5 percent, forage intake declines. However, intake of other forages may decline when forage crude protein drops below 10 percent. Part of the variation can be attributed to differences in nutrient requirements of the cattle, with the remainder of the variation attributed to inherent differences among forages that present different proportions of nutrients to rumen microbes. Response of intake to a single nutrient such as crude protein should not be expected to be similar among all forages.

**How Does Nutrition Influence Reproduction of the Range Cow?**

Bearden and Fuquay (1992) summarized the effects of inadequate and excessive nutrients on reproductive efficiency (Table 2).

This summary shows that excessive protein and energy can both have negative effects on reproduction. Recent research has also shown that in inadequate consumption of certain trace elements combined with antagonistic effects of other elements can reduce reproductive efficiency (Paterson et al., 2000).

Often, there are questions by livestock producers who are concerned that excessive dietary nutrients during the last trimester of pregnancy may negatively influence calf birth weights and dystocia. Selk (2000) summarized the effects of providing either adequate or inadequate amounts of dietary energy and protein on calving difficulty, reproductive performance and calf growth. These summaries are presented in the table 3 and table 4.

Research has been consistent in suggesting that reducing protein or energy pre-partum had virtually no effect on dystocia rates, even though birth weights were altered in some experiments. Of the nine trials summarized, seven showed that increased energy intakes during the last trimester of gestation did not increase calving difficulty.

In addition, producers have commented that supplemental crude protein increases calf birth weight. Table 4 summarizes studies that have been
done to specifically measure effects of varying protein intake to the prepartum beef female on calving difficulty.

**Using Forage Analyses to Predict Animal Productivity; One Example**

Montana State University researchers (Blunt and Cash) have recently completed a three-year study to determine how forage nutrient profiles change both during the growing season and across years at various locations in Montana. Figure 3 shows how crude protein content of Hycrest Crested Wheat grass and Slender Wheat grass changed over three years while Figure 4 shows how the in vitro dry matter digestibility of these forages changed. For the following assumptions, in vitro values were assumed to be approximately equal to TDN and these values were converted to net energy values for maintenance and gain.

The crude protein content of the crested wheat grass dropped from more than 20% in early May to less than nine percent by October. Similarly, IVDMD of crested wheat grass dropped from more than 55% in May to less than 35% in October. Using these changes, the amount of dry matter required to meet the requirements of a 1200 lb lactating beef cow producing 20 lb milk/day with a body condition score of 5 was estimated. Table 5 shows how a decline in forage protein and TDN increased the amount of dry matter intake required to meet energy requirements of the cow. These same data are also presented graphically in Figure 5.

Based on these calculations, a cow grazing wheat grass during May and July would have to consume between 2.3 and 3.6% of her body weight to meet requirements for lactation. After July and until October, DM intake requirements would rise until she would have to consume over 7% of her body weight each day as crested wheat grass; unlikely to occur.

**Summary**

The challenge for the cow calf producer is to match forage nutrients with animal requirements. Often, because there are not synchrony between these two, supplemental feedstuffs are required to maintain productivity (lactation, body condition, growth of the calf). Research suggests that when forage crude protein content falls below approximately 6-7%, dry matter intake also declines. At levels below this, it may be difficult for the cow to consume enough forage to meet energy requirements. In addition it has been shown that diets low in protein have resulted in weak calves at parturition. However, recent data suggests that exceeding protein intake
prior to parturition (e.g. high quality alfalfa hay) did not negatively influence calf birth weight or the incidence of dystocia. After the drought of 2000 in many parts of the western states, a forage analysis is critical in determining how well the forage resource will meet the nutrient requirements of the gestating cow during the winter of 2001.

**Literature Cited**


Mathis, C.P. 2000. Protein and Energy Supplementation to Beef Cows Grazing New Mexico
Rangelandshttp://www.cahe.nmsu.edu/pubs/_circulars/Circ564.html


